



Heimat Didone

Heimat Display

Heimat Sans

Heimat Mono

Heimat Stencil

abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzßfbfifjfl
1234567890#¢\$€£ƒø¥₩àáâãäåāăąđ
ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ&
1234567890@.,:;!?)]]}§*“”〇☺☹¶

Heimat Display – Basic Characters

About: Heimat Display is the high contrast sans serif typeface family within the Heimat Collection, also containing Heimat Didone, Heimat Sans, Heimat Mono and Heimat Stencil.

Heimat Display is a typeface family designed for contemporary typography, especially for use in headlines and on posters, but also for reading purposes. It combines an idiosyncratic appearance with the feeling of a grid-based letter construction of the late 20s. Since the design might be too extreme for some applications, Heimat Didone’s character set provides two alphabets, the regular one plus an alternate design that comes across as less suspenseful.



Opentype options: Heimat Display [872 glyphs] comes in 72 styles and contains extra sets of alternate glyphs, many ligatures, lining figures [proportionally spaced and monospaced], hanging figures [proportionally spaced and monospaced], positive and negative circled figures for upper and lower case, superior and inferior, fractions, extensive language support and many more OpenType features.

Language support: Afrikaans, Albanian, Basque, Bosnian, Breton, Catalan, Chichewa, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, English, Esperanto, Estonian, Faroese, Finnish, French, Frisian, Gaelic (Scots), Galician, German, Greenlandic, Hungarian, Icelandic, Indonesian, Irish, Italian, Kashubian, Kurdish, Latvian, Lithuanian, Luxembourgian, Maltese, Maori, Norwegian, Occitan, Polish, Portuguese, (Rhaeto-) Romance, Romanian, Sami, Serbian (Latin), Slovak, Slovenian, Sorbian, Spanish, Swahili, Swedish, Tswana, Turkmen, Turkish, Walloon, Wolof, Yapese.

	Extra Light	Light	Regular	Semi Bold	Bold	Extra Bold
10	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn
12	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn
14	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn
16	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn
18	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn
20	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn	Hn Hn

Extra Light
Light
Regular
Semi Bold
Bold
Extra Bold

Extra Light Italic
Light Italic
Regular Italic
Semi Bold Italic
Bold Italic
Extra Bold Italic

Extra Light Alternate
Light Alternate
Regular Alternate
Semi Bold Alternate
Bold Alternate
Extra Bold Alternate

Extra Light Italic Alternate
Light Italic Alternate
Regular Italic Alternate
Semi Bold Italic Alternate
Bold Italic Alternate
Extra Bold Italic Alternate



Philopatry

Heimat Display – 10 Extra Light

Biphasic lives

Heimat Display – 12 Semi Bold

Microhabitants

Heimat Display – 14 Extra Bold Italic

Environments

Heimat Display – 16 Light

Overwintering

Heimat Display – 18 Extra Bold

Radiotelemetry

Heimat Display – 20 Bold Italic



Philopatry

Heimat Display – 10 Extra Light Alternate

Biphasic lives

Heimat Display – 12 Semi Bold Alternate

Microhabitants

Heimat Display – 14 Extra Bold Italic Alternate

Environments

Heimat Display – 16 Light Alternate

Overwintering

Heimat Display – 18 Extra Bold Alternate

Radiotelemetry

Heimat Display – 20 Bold Italic Alternate



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



The smallest spatial scale makes identifying all relevant projects much simpler, but comes at a cost of less clearly defined seabird populations as the exact movements in time and space of each age class of each population are not well known for any seabird species. For EIA, it is therefore likely that an optimal compromise is to define regional populations at an intermediate spatial scale between biogeographic and local.

This requires the definition of the wintering area of UK breeding populations and an understanding of the influx of birds breeding abroad but mixing with SPA breeding birds within UK waters during the non-breeding season. As our understanding of biogeographic populations is relatively advanced, and breeding and non-breeding range for those biogeographic population units are more or less defined, the biogeographic population, which includes various types of breeding birds, might represent the largest reference unit to start with in the absence of more specific knowledge and population estimates.



Titling Punctuation

† ‡ † ‡ † ‡ ® ™ ™

Ampersands /
Conjunctions

& & & & AN AND BY FOR FÜR THE TO UND VON

Proportional Lining

0123456789#0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Tabular Lining

0123456789#0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Proportional Oldstyle

0123456789#0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Tabular Oldstyle

0123456789#0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Superior Figures

H 0123456789, ., # 0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Inferior Figures

H 0123456789, ., # 0\$€€€€f02¥W#

Numerators/
Denominators

H 0123456789/0123456789

Prebuilt Fractions

1/4 1/2 4/3 1/3 2/3 1/8 8/3 5/8 8/7

Mathematic Marks/
Subscript Characters

+ - ± × ÷ = ≠ < > ≤ ≥ ~ ≈ ∂ Δ ∏ ∑ √ ∫ ∞ % ‰ # ^{ao}

Cycled Figures
Positive

①②③④⑤⑥⑦⑧⑨ ABC ①②③④⑤⑥⑦⑧⑨ abc

Cycled Figures
Negative

⓪①②③④⑤⑥⑦⑧⑨ ABC ⓪①②③④⑤⑥⑦⑧⑨ abc

Arrows & Special
Characters

← ↑ → ↓ ↖ ↗ ↘ ↙ ↔ ↕ □ ☑ ✓ ☞ ☜ ☝



Designer:
Christoph Dunst

Publishing Date:
2015

Font Software:
Version 1.0

Contact:
Atlas Font Foundry
Friedrichstrasse 23b
10969 Berlin/Germany

+49 30 55145455 (phone)
info@atlasfonts.com
www.atlasfonts.com

Copyright:
©2015 Atlas Font Foundry. All rights reserved.
Atlas Font Foundry® and Heimat Display® are registered
trademarks of the Atlas Font Foundry.